registering time release preparations, if any, is not available with the Government.

Representation against Sun.Hill Public School, Rohtak Road, New Delhi

1649: SHRI SUNIL KUMAR PATTNAIK: Will the Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state.:

(a) whether it is a fact that **a** Member of Parliament has written a letter recently to the Director, Education, Delhi Administration regarding the Sun Hill Public School, New Rohtak Road, New Delhi; and

(b) if so, what are the. contents of the letter and the action taken thereon?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF EDUCATION AND CULTURE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI L. P. SHAHI): (a) and (b) As per Delhi Administration, no such letter addressed to the Director of Education is available on their record.

Allotment of Type I quarters in Delhi Division

1650. SHRI CHATURANAN MISHBA: Will the Minister of RAILWAYS be pleased to state:

(a) what is the number of pooled type I railway quarters allotted during the period from January, 1986 to January, 1988 to the unscreened employees in Delhi Division of Northern Railway; and

(b) what is the number of such quarters allotted to the wards of retired employees?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS (SHRI MADHAVRAO SCTNDIA): ' (a) Nil. Unscreened employees are not eligible for allotment of railway quarters, as per extent rules.

(b) Fiftyfour (54) Nos. of Type-I quarters on Delhi Division have been allotted/regularised on out of turn basis to eligible wards from 1st January, 1988 to 31st January, 198a on retirement of railway employees who were having railway quarters at the time of their retirement.

Allotment of Railway land under 'Grow more Food' compaign

1651. SHRI JERLIE E. TARIANG: Will the Minister of RAILWAYS be ' pleased to refer to answer to Unsfar-red Question 902 given in the Rajya Sabha *on* the 2nd March, 1988 and state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the land taken back by the.railway autho- rities from the original allottees of the land actually fall under the cultivation land area and not in the hilly area; the same has been reallotted by personal way Inspectors to six persons on plain papers @ Rs. 450/- per plot . without obtaining . orders from the competent authorities, whose cases are still pending with the local police;

(b) whethre it is also a fact that Personal Way Inspectors has misused the foreign, aid meant for afforestation in the hilly area which is not under cultivation and afforestation work, is ~sp being done through daily wagers using the Government funds; if so, whether Government have received any com-plaintg in this regard and whether they , propose to take action in the matter and hand over the possession of land to original allottees; and

(c) if so, the details thereof; and if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS. (SHRI MADHAVRAO SCINDIA): (a)' -^ The land taken back fallg under the cultivation land area and not in the hilly area. It is not correct that this land has been allotted by the Permanent Way Inspector for the purpose of cultivation.

(b) and (c) No, Sir. Afforestation on the railway land so vacated, is be ing done departmentally by the Rail way. There is no proposal to hand over possession of the land to the ori ginal allottees.

Sun, Hill Public School

1652. SHRI SUNIL KUMAR PATTNAIK: Will the Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Sun Hill Public School running in the basement of Ra-jendra Jaina Apartments, New Rohtak Road, New Delhi is a recognised School;

Ob) whether it has no playground of its own and that there are no proper class rooms having light and ventilation and other facilities for the children;

(c) if so, what are the reasons for granting recognition to the school; and

(d) whether any society is controlling the school; if so, the de^is thereof indicating the names of the members of the society and their qualifications?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF EDUCATION AND CULTURE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI L. P. SHAHI): (a) to (c) As per Delhi Administration, the school is recognised. This recognition was granted when the school was functioning at Irrderpuri. It was shifted to the present location without the permission of the Delhi Administration. At present, the school does not have adequate facilities Jbut the school has been asked by the Delhi Administration to shift to a proper place.

(d) Under the provisions of the Delhi School Education Act and Rules

the Delhi Administration deals with the school management committee only. These are the details of the internal activities of the school, and the Delhi Aministration does not have information about this.

दिल्ली में निगरानी–कर्मकार

1653. **श्री सुनील कुमार पट्टनायक :** क्या स्वास्थ्य और परिवार कल्याण मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) संघ राज्य क्षेत्र दिल्ली में पिछले तीन–वर्षों से अधिक समय से मस्टर रोल (दिहाड़ी मजदूर) में पर कार्य कर रहे ऐसे निगरानी कर्मकारों की संख्या कितनी हैं जिन्हें अभी तक स्थायी नहीं किया गया है ;

(ख) उपर्युक्त भाग (क) में उल्लिखित कर्मकारों के नाम क्या हैं और उन्हें स्थायी न करने के क्या कारण हैं ; और

(ग) क्या सरकार ऐसे कर्मकारों को स्थायी करने का विचार रखती हैं और यदि हां, तो उसका ब्यौरा क्या है ; और यदि नहीं, तो इसके क्या कारण हैं ;

स्वास्थ्य और परिवार कल्याण मंत्री तथा नागर विमानन मंत्री (श्री मोती लाल बोरा) : (क) दिल्ली नगर निगम ने सूचित किया है कि पिछले तीन वर्षों से मस्टर रोल में कोई निगरानी कर्मचारी, नहीं है ।

(ख) और (ग) प्रश्न नहीं उठते ।

BTC bus service of route no. 95

1654. SHRI SOHAN LAL DHUSIYA: Will the Minister of SURFACE TRANSPORT be pleased to refer to Unstarred Question 1435 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 9th March, 1988 and state:

(a) what are the timings of busee on route No. 95 from Central Secretariat and Ptatap Nagar, the tre-